# Southern Minnesota Regional Science & Engineering Fair Judging Criteria | Elementary Division

The following evaluation criteria will be used for judging at the Southern Minnesota Regional Science & Engineering Fair | Elementary Division.

As shown below, there are six sections of judging criteria as well as suggested scoring for each section. Each section includes key elements to consider for evaluation both before and after the interview.

Students are encouraged to design their posters in a clear and informative manner to allow pre-interview evaluation and to enable the interview to become an in-depth discussion. Considerable emphasis is placed on two areas: Creativity and Presentation, especially the Interview section, and are discussed in more detail below.

**Creativity:** A creative project demonstrates imagination and inventiveness. Such projects often offer different perspectives that open up new possibilities or new alternatives. Judges should place emphasis on research outcomes in evaluating creativity.

**Presentation/Interview:** The interview provides the opportunity for judges to interact with the students and evaluate their understanding of the project's basic science, interpretation and limitations of the results and conclusions. Judges and Fair Officials will consider the following:

- Was the project completed at, or with substantial assistance from, a University, Research Lab, or other professional service? If so, that is OK, but students should clearly acknowledge that assistance on their poster board.
- If the project was completed at home or in a school laboratory, the judge should determine if the finalist received any mentoring or professional guidance.
- If the project is a multi-year effort, the interview should focus ONLY on the current year's work.
- Please note that both team and individual projects are judged together, and projects should be judged solely based on their quality. However, all team members should demonstrate significant contributions to and an understanding of the project.

NOTE: Students at the Southern Minnesota Regional Science and Engineering Fair will <u>NOT</u> receive scoring results. All scores are used for internal rankings and ribbon assignments only. Therefore, as judges, it is imperative that constructive and positive feedback be provided each student– as this is what the students will get back from the Fair staff.

# Southern Minnesota Regional Science & Engineering Fair Judging Criteria | Elementary Division

Scoring Criteria	Points Possible	Points Awarded
Research Question/Problem		
Clear and definable research question/problem statement; research		
question/problem statement is based on solid reasoning and foundations;	10	
understands and/or relates research question/problem statement to real		
issues and challenges.		
Design and Methodology		
Scientific Method   Methods are appropriate to address	15	
questions/statement from above; variables and controls can be identified;	10	
methods are within the student's capacity and resources.		
<b>Engineering Design  </b> Methods/design are appropriate to address problem statement above; exploration of alternative to answer need or problem;		
development of a prototype/model.		
Execution:		
Scientific Method   Data Collection, Analysis, and Interpretation		
Appropriate data collected to address the question/statement;	20	
reproducibility of results; sufficient data collected to support interpretation		
and conclusions.		
Engineering Design   Construction and Testing		
Prototype demonstrates intended design; prototype has been fully tested in		
multiple conditions/trials (when possible and appropriate); demonstrates engineering skill and completeness.		
Creativity		
Project demonstrates significant creativity in one or more of the above	15	
criteria; problem at hand, solution methodology, use of resources,	10	
approach to solution or in any other way deemed fit.		
Presentation: Poster		
Logical and/or creative organization of materials; clarity and appropriate	10	
use of graphics and legends; display is aesthetically pleasing and easy to	10	
read.		
Presentation: Interview		
Clear, thoughtful, and enthusiastic responses to questions; understanding		
of basic science relevant to project; understanding interpretation and		
limitations of results and conclusions; degree of independence in	20	
conducting the experiment; recognition of potential impact in science,		
society, or economics; quality of ideas for further research.		
<b>Team Projects:</b> Contributions to and understanding by all team members.		
Protocols:		
No animals/plants/microorganisms at project. (5 pts)	10	
Project Approval Form present. (5 pts)		
TOTAL POINTS	100	

## **RIBBON POINTS**

Purple Ribbon= 85-100 Blue Ribbon= 70-84 Red Ribbon= 55-69 Green Ribbon=0-54 Purple Ribbon - Project above expectations, superior in category Blue Ribbon - Project achieved expectations, satisfactory in category Red Ribbon - Project met expectations; additional development opportunities were identified Green Ribbon - Project has not met standard criteria

## Southern Minnesota Regional Science & Engineering Fair Judging Criteria | Elementary Division

## FEEDBACK ASSESSMENT CATEGORIES | TEAM / INDIVIDUAL

## **CREATIVE ABILITY**

Questions asked are student-initiated and original; approach to solving the problem is creative; equipment is creatively used or had to be made/modified; interpretation of the data shows creative and original thinking by students; students understand project implications beyond their research.

### SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT (A) OR ENGINEERING GOALS (B)

**A:** Clear and unambiguous statement of problem; clearly defined procedural plan for obtaining a solution; variables clearly recognized and defined; proper controls used correctly; data adequately supports students' conclusions; limitations recognized; scientific literature cited, not just popular literature (i.e. newspapers, web) OR

**B**: Project has a clear objective relevant to potential user's needs; solution is workable and economically feasible; solution could be used in the design or construction of an end product; solution is a significant improvement over current alternatives; solution has been performance tested under conditions of use.

### **THOROUGHNESS**

Original question was completely addressed; conclusions are based on repeated observations (not single experiments); project notes / lab notebook are complete; students are aware of alternate approaches or theories.

### <u>SKILL</u>

Data was obtained & analyzed appropriately by student; students worked largely independently; students have required skills/understanding to continue research on own.

### **TEAMWORK**

Tasks and contributions of each team member clearly outlined; each team member fully involved with project; coordinated effort evident.